

Findings from 11 years of high temporal resolution monitoring in the ACP

Per-Erik Mellander



Policy, Agriculture & environment

- **Food production**
- **Climate change**



- **Science**
- **Environmental policy**


- **Nitrates Directive**
 - **Good Agricultural Practice**
- **Agricultural Catchments Programme**
 - **Water Framework Directive**
- **Food Harvest 2020 & Food Wise 2025**
 - **Climate**

Agricultural Catchments Programme (ACP)

- 2008 – on going
- Collaboration with >300 farmers in 6 catchments
- Scientists, advisors, technologists and technicians



A whole catchment approach



- *Conceptual framework*
- *Same Experimental design across all catchments*

Source & Mobilisation



Farm management




Soil sampling

Transfer



Weather



Surface pathways

Delivery



Below ground pathways




Water quantity & quality

Impact



Ecological survey

Why so much data?




1. Captures all
2. Insights to WQ during lowflow and high flow
3. Detected subtle changes in WQ
4. Insights to influence off large-scale weather systems on WQ
5. Analytical methods to identify and quantify pathways of nutrients
6. Validate/build models
7. Test other sampling schemes
8. Interpret low frequency sampling
9. Extrapolate to larger areas
10. Educational platforms

Diverse landscape

- Irish agricultural landscape has many soil types, landscape features, a complex geology and different land use
- Nutrient transfer pathways, transfer times and transformation processes varies highly within and between catchments




ACP catchments



I Agronomical controls


- Arable land on poorly to freely drained soils
- Complex pathways
- High P in all pathways
- Increase in index 4 soils
- **“Source risky”**



- Good farm-scale nutrient management is needed to improve the spatial distribution of nutrients
- There is room for improvement

II Chemical controls


- Grassland on freely drained and Fe rich soils
- Fe rich soils favoured P into soluble form
- 50% RP loss via GW; 3 times higher P loss than the Al rich catchment
- **“Mobilisation risky”**



- Leaching of P can be important at catchment scale
- There may be hotspots for leaching of P

III Physical controls

- Grassland on poorly drained soils
- Hydrological flashy
- Three times higher P loss than neighbouring arable catchment despite similar soil P source
- **“Transfer risky”**




- Hydrology overrides source pressure

There are no “one size fits all” solutions

Temporal variability – freely drained soils

Summer rain event


- SMD = 31 mm
- Rainfall = 25 mm
- Stream flow = 2 mm
- P loss = 1.6 g TRP/ha




Four times higher P loss
in the winter event!

Winter rain event

- SMD = 0 mm
- Rainfall = 29 mm
- Stream flow = 20 mm
- P loss = 6.5 g TRP/ha



Critical Source Areas



- Soil P concentration
- Erosion risk
- Mobilisation potential
- Hydrological Sensitive Areas

Weather

Ireland listed as one of 'worst-hit' countries impacted by Europe-wide drought



Claire Mc Cormack

Aug 15, 2018, 9:35am




1 Share




North Atlantic Oscillation

Negative Phase NAO




<http://www.windows.ucar.edu/>

Positive Phase NAO



<http://www.windows.ucar.edu/>

North Atlantic Oscillation *index*



- N and P concentrations were correlated to changes in NAOi
- Different response for different catchment typologies
- Weather changes may override local management!

Weather extremes






Source: Noel Powell, internet

Weather events that may drastically offset “normal” conditions


May also alter the type of riskiness and require additional mitigation strategy

Weather extremes



- Influence of long-term weather shifts and short-term weather extremes both need consideration and require different mitigation strategies

Summer drought



- Increased impact of point sources
- Even a small source may significantly elevate P conc. during an ecological sensitive period
- Improved management

Future: ACP (2020 – 2024)

- Increased budget (65%)
- Extended data collection and research
- Farm-scale monitoring of N and P in soil solution and groundwater on derogation and non-derogation farms
- Towers for monitoring of GHG emission
- Test “above-baseline” mitigation measures
- Model scenarios of intensification (farming and weather) - upscale to regional and national scale



Summary

- Efficient & targeted measures are needed
- Continuous monitoring has provided an understanding of when, where and how nutrients are lost to water
- There are no “one size fits all” solutions due to different catchment typologies
- Different dominating pressures: i) source; ii) mobilisation and iii) transport
- Overriding climate pressure, long-term changes & short-term extremes

