Social Sustainability Metrics in the NFS

Emma Dillon

Agricultural Economics & Farm Surveys Rural Economy & Development Programme



Sustainable Food Systems

- A Sustainable Food System
- is profitable throughout (economic sustainability)
- has broad-based benefits for society (social sustainability) &,
- has a positive or neutral impact on the natural environment

(environmental sustainability).









An evolving policy landscape

- Holistic nature of sustainability
 - Increasingly evident in policy
- Widening focus of the CAP
 - Overlapping dimensions
 - Broad ranging societal challenges
 - Changing evaluation needs
 - New metrics required











From FADN to FSDN

- Traditional focus of the EU Farm Accountancy Data Network
 - Physical & Structural Data
 - Economic & Financial Data
- National Farm Survey a broader remit
 - Indicator evolution
 - Challenging to measure social sustainability
 - Can be subjective and sometimes sensitive







How to measure social sustainability?

• Social sustainability is a measure of human welfare

Specifying and managing both positive and negative impacts of systems, processes, organisations, and activities on people and social life (Balaman, 2018).

- Both internal and external dimensions (Brennan et al., 2020).
- Internal issues such as wellbeing, both physical and psychological, demographic viability, education, working conditions for the farmer, families and employees.
- **External** community oriented issues, relating to the values, concerns and demands of wider rural society e.g. animal health and welfare, generational renewal and rural viability.





Social dimensions





i 🔔 🔌

Connectivity

Social engagement

Farm labour



• Health and wellbeing



Farm business related stress 2021

- 38% of farmers reported stress relating to their farm business in the past 5 years
 - More than half of Dairy farmers. Approx. 1/4 to 1/3 across other systems
 - Significant deterioration over time across livestock systems
- However, comparable data from 2018 indicated a much higher incidence of stress
 - Drivers were weather, workload and finance in a particularly challenging year



• Health and wellbeing

Self-reported farmer health, by system 2021					
%	Poor	Fair	Good	Very Good	
Dairy	0	11	55	34	
Cattle Rearing	6	21	41	33	
Cattle Other	1	23	51	25	
Sheep	1	30	37	33	
Tillage	0	8	50	42	
All	2	20	47	31	Source: Teagasc National Farm Survey



- 78% of farmers themselves report good or very good health
 - Highest amongst Tillage farmers, lowest amongst Sheep farmers
- Between about 1/4 and 1/3 of Drystock farmers report poor or fair health
 - compared with about 1/10 of Dairy and Tillage farmers
- Of those reporting poor or fair health, almost half have no replacement labour
 - Sheep farmers were least likely to have an identified replacement





Connectivity



Smartphone usage amongst farmers

- Dramatic increase in smartphone usage amongst farmers
 - Going from 20% across systems in 2015 to 76% in 2021
 - Above 70% across all systems, highest amongst Dairy and Tillage farmers



• Connectivity

Internet access across farm households						
%	2011	2019	2021			
Dairy	84	95	97			
Cattle Rearing	66	68	88			
Cattle Other	67	80	83			
Sheep	66	78	85			
Tillage	94	90	92			
All	76	80	88			

Source: Teagasc National Farm Survey

- Steady increase in internet access/utilisation amongst farm households since 2011
 - Almost universal amongst Dairy farms in 2021
 - Increase evident since Covid-19 pandemic
 - Dairy farmers more likely to use ICT for farm business
- Quality 57% report good/very good, broadly similar across systems
 - 28% report average quality, with 15% poor/very poor



• Connectivity



Use of online livestock marts

- Behavioural change evident around livestock mart participation
 - 2/3 of farmers watched marts online during the pandemic
 - Over half bought/sold in this way (across all systems)
 - 83% of those plan to continue doing so
 - Sheep farmers least engaged in buying/selling online





• Social engagement

Frequency of farmer social contact outside of household, % by farm system 2018 & 2021



- Impact of Covid-19 evident in terms of daily contact across systems
 - Daily contact by Sheep farmers went from 73% to 52% from 2018 to 2021
 - Dairy and Cattle Other down about 10 percentage points to 50% also
 - Subsequent increase in the proportion of farmers with less social contact



Farm Labour •





Source: Teagasc National Farm Survey

- Source: Teagasc National Farm Survey
- Larger labour requirement on Dairy farms reflected in total annual hours worked on-farm ٠
- Dairy and Sheep farms have a relatively higher proportion of female labour input ٠

Indicator development in the NFS



Demographics Education Workload Isolation Farm Safety Connectivity (ICT) Succession Stress Wellbeing/Quality of Life*

Health & Welfare* Antibiotic use** Farm Facilities*





Rural viability/access to services Small Farms Survey Biodiversity*

*Work in progress ** not currently collected



Thank You



Helping shape the future of farming



References

- Balaman, S.Y. (2018). Decision-Making for Biomass-Based Production Chains: The Basic Concepts and Methodologies. Academic Press.
- Brennan, M., Hennessy, T. and Dillon, E. (2020). Towards a better measurement of the social sustainability of Irish agriculture. *International Journal of Sustainable Development* 23.3-4.
- Brennan, M., Hennessy, T., Meredith, D. and Dillon, E. (2022). Weather, workload and money: Determining and evaluating sources of stress for farmers in Ireland. *Journal of Agromedicine*, 27(2).
- Brennan, M., Hennessy, T. and Dillon, E.J. (2021). Embedding animal welfare in sustainability assessment: an indicator approach. *Irish Journal of Agricultural and Food Research*, 60(1).



